

ASSESSING THE IMPACT OF TRADE LIBERALIZATION ON ECONOMIC GROWTH: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF DEVELOPING ECONOMIES IN THE CONTEXT OF GLOBALIZATION AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Caroline *¹

Universitas Sultan Fatah, Indonesia
caroline@unisfat.ac.id

Siti Sumiati

Universitas Islam Sultan Agung Semarang, Indonesia
sitisumiati@unissula.ac.id

Ahmad Rizani

Universitas Palangka Raya, Indonesia
ahmadrizani@gmail.com

Loso Judijanto

IPOSS Jakarta, Indonesia
losojudijantobumn@gmail.com

Yamolala Zega

Universitas Nias, Indonesia
zyamolala@gmail.com

Abstract

In the context of globalization, this study assessed the impact of trade liberalization on economic growth in developing economies and provided essential policy implications. Utilizing a comparative analysis, historical perspectives, and quantitative measures, we examined case studies of developing nations to understand the dynamic relationship between trade liberalization and economic growth. The results demonstrated that trade liberalization has generally yielded positive outcomes, fostering economic growth and integration into the global economy. Developing countries that actively engaged in trade liberalization experienced increased foreign direct investment, expanded exports, and enhanced economic development. However, the study also identified various factors influencing this relationship, including institutional factors, human capital, investment in infrastructure, and political stability. In the context of globalization, the study highlighted the profound impact of international trade agreements and the need for responsive trade policy reforms. Lessons from the comparative analysis were used to provide practical recommendations for developing economies. These recommendations emphasize the importance of nurturing human capital, promoting sound governance, and investing in critical infrastructure. While acknowledging the potential challenges and risks, this research underlines the significance of carefully crafted trade policies to harness the benefits of trade liberalization and ensure sustainable economic growth.

Keywords: trade liberalization, economic growth, developing economies, globalization, policy implications, comparative analysis.

¹ Corresponding author.

Introduction

Trade liberalization, a policy choice that reduces barriers to international trade, has been a prominent feature of the global economic landscape (Murshed, 2020). It has gained momentum due to globalization, which has made the world more interconnected than ever before. Trade liberalization often includes reducing tariffs, removing quotas, and promoting the free flow of goods and services across borders. For developing economies, trade liberalization represents both an opportunity and a challenge. On the one hand, it can open up new markets, attract foreign investments, and foster economic growth. On the other hand, it can expose these nations to increased competition, potentially impacting domestic industries and employment. The decision to engage in trade liberalization, the pace at which it is implemented, and the accompanying policy measures can significantly influence the outcomes for these countries (Abrego et al., 2020).

Globalization has played a central role in shaping the trajectory of trade liberalization. As the world becomes increasingly interdependent, international trade has become a cornerstone of economic growth for many nations. Developing economies, in particular, have sought to harness the potential benefits of globalization by actively participating in global value chains and trade networks. This participation necessitates reevaluating trade policies to remain competitive globally (Côté et al., 2020). Trade liberalization, a policy choice that reduces barriers to international trade, has been a prominent feature of the global economic landscape. It has gained momentum due to globalization, which has made the world more interconnected than ever before. Trade liberalization often includes reducing tariffs, removing quotas, and promoting the free flow of goods and services across borders (Gillespie & Swan, 2021).

For developing economies, trade liberalization represents both an opportunity and a challenge. On the one hand, it can open up new markets, attract foreign investments, and foster economic growth. On the other hand, it can expose these nations to increased competition, potentially impacting domestic industries and employment. The decision to engage in trade liberalization, the pace at which it is implemented, and the accompanying policy measures can significantly influence the outcomes for these countries (Ahmad & Amin, 2020). Globalization has played a central role in shaping the trajectory of trade liberalization. As the world becomes increasingly interdependent, international trade has become a cornerstone of economic growth for many nations. Developing economies, in particular, have sought to harness the potential benefits of globalization by actively participating in global value chains and trade networks. This participation necessitates reevaluating trade policies to remain competitive globally (Taglioni & Winkler, 2016).

Trade liberalization, a policy choice that reduces barriers to international trade, has been a prominent feature of the global economic landscape. It has gained momentum due to globalization, which has made the world more interconnected than ever before. The process of trade liberalization often includes reducing tariffs, removing quotas, and promoting the free flow of goods and services across borders (Wang & Wang, 2020). For

developing economies, trade liberalization represents both an opportunity and a challenge. On the one hand, it can open up new markets, attract foreign investments, and foster economic growth. On the other hand, it can expose these nations to increased competition, potentially impacting domestic industries and employment. The decision to engage in trade liberalization, the pace at which it is implemented, and the accompanying policy measures can significantly influence the outcomes for these countries (Wang et al., 2023).

Globalization has played a central role in shaping the trajectory of trade liberalization. As the world becomes increasingly interdependent, international trade has become a cornerstone of economic growth for many nations. Developing economies, in particular, have sought to harness the potential benefits of globalization by actively participating in global value chains and trade networks. This participation necessitates reevaluating trade policies to remain competitive in the global marketplace.

The primary objective of this research is to assess the impact of trade liberalization on economic growth, with a particular focus on developing economies. To achieve this, the study will employ a comparative analysis approach, examining various developing nations' experiences with trade liberalization. By comparing and contrasting the outcomes of trade liberalization in different contexts, the research aims to shed light on the nuanced relationship between trade policies and economic growth (Lane, 2020).

Key research objectives include; 1) Analyzing the historical development of trade policies in select developing economies. 2) They are quantitatively assessing the impact of trade liberalization on economic growth, considering multiple variables and indicators. 3) It is identifying and examining the factors that influence the relationship between trade liberalization and economic growth. 4) Investigating the role of globalization in shaping trade policies and its implications for developing nations.

The significance of this study is multifaceted and extends to various stakeholders, including policymakers, economists, international organizations, and the general public. It holds relevance for the following reasons; 1) **Policy Implications:** The findings of this research will provide valuable insights for policymakers in developing economies. Understanding the impact of trade liberalization and the associated factors will aid in making informed decisions about trade policy reforms. It can guide the design of strategies that maximize the benefits of trade liberalization while mitigating potential challenges (Wieczorek, 2018). 2) **Economic Development:** Economic growth and development are critical goals for developing nations. This study aims to contribute to the discourse on how trade policies can be leveraged to foster economic growth, reduce poverty, and enhance the overall standard of living. 3) **Global Economic Landscape:** Given the global economy's interconnectedness, developing economies' trade policies have ripple effects that extend beyond their borders. This research will contribute to a better understanding of how these policies can influence international trade dynamics and relationships (Ravallion, 2018). 4) **Academic Contribution:** Academically, this research seeks to add to the body of knowledge on the complex relationship between trade liberalization, economic growth,

and globalization. It will provide a foundation for further research and analysis in international economics.

As we embark on this research journey, we must recognize the intricate and multifaceted nature of trade liberalization's impact on economic growth in developing economies. By exploring the historical context, employing quantitative analysis, and considering the role of globalization, this study aims to provide a nuanced understanding of this critical subject. In doing so, it aspires to contribute to formulating effective trade policies that foster economic growth and development while navigating the challenges and opportunities presented by an increasingly globalized world (Scheel et al., 2020).

Research Method

In conducting a comprehensive study on trade liberalization and its impact on economic growth in developing nations, it is essential to outline the methodology, including data sources and selection criteria. The methodology employed in this research is crucial for ensuring the validity and reliability of the findings (Khan et al., 2020). This study relies on a diverse range of data sources to provide a robust analysis of the relationship between trade liberalization and economic growth. These sources include reputable international organizations such as the World Bank, International Monetary Fund (IMF), World Trade Organization (WTO), and United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD). National statistical agencies of developing countries and academic research papers are also valuable sources of data (Ferdowsi, 2010).

Several critical criteria guide the selection of data sources. First, data sources should provide comprehensive and up-to-date information on trade liberalization measures, trade-related variables, and economic indicators. Second, sources should have a solid reputation for data quality and accuracy. Third, data from various regions and income groups of developing countries are included to ensure a diverse and representative sample (Cai et al., 2020). It is essential to identify the key variables and measurements used in this study to investigate the relationship between trade liberalization and economic growth. The selection of variables and the measurement methods play a pivotal role in determining the outcomes and conclusions.

The primary variables under consideration include measures of trade liberalization and economic growth. Trade liberalization variables encompass indicators related to tariff reductions, removal of non-tariff barriers, trade openness, and participation in regional or international trade agreements. Economic growth is primarily measured using GDP growth rates, income per capita, and other economic performance indicators (Raghutla, 2020). To account for potential confounding factors, control variables are introduced. These variables include government stability, political institutions, infrastructure development, education levels, and foreign direct investment. Control variables help isolate the impact of trade liberalization on economic growth from other factors that may influence it (Atinc et al., 2012).

Trade liberalization is quantified using indices or scores that capture the extent and depth of trade reforms. These measurements are often based on comprehensive trade policy assessments provided by international organizations. Economic growth is measured using annual GDP growth rates and per capita income data. Control variables are measured using various indices or data sources specific to each factor (Falvey et al., 2012)

Comparative Analysis Approach

The methodology employed in this research relies on a comparative analysis approach. This approach enables a systematic examination of the impact of trade liberalization on economic growth across different developing nations (Cui et al., 2020). **Selection of Developing Nations:** A diverse set of developing countries from different regions and income groups is chosen to ensure a comprehensive representation. Relevant data on trade liberalization, economic growth, and control variables are compiled from multiple sources for each selected nation.

Statistical techniques like regression and correlation studies are used to analyze the relationships between trade liberalization measures, economic growth, and control variables (Xu et al., 2020). **Comparative Assessment:** The research compares the findings across different nations, identifying common trends and variations in the impact of trade liberalization on economic growth. **Case Studies:** Select developing nations are examined in more detail through case studies to provide a qualitative perspective on the effects of trade liberalization on their economic development.

This comparative analysis approach comprehensively explains the complex relationship between trade liberalization and economic growth in developing nations. It identifies factors contributing to varying outcomes across different countries and regions (Rahman & Islam, 2023). The following sections of this research will present and discuss the findings derived from the data analysis and comparative assessment. These findings will shed light on how trade liberalization influences economic growth and the underlying mechanisms at play in developing nations.

Results

Trade Liberalization and Economic Growth

Trade liberalization is a multifaceted policy choice that has gained significant prominence in the global economic landscape. It involves the reduction of barriers to international trade, such as tariffs, quotas, and restrictions, aiming to promote the free flow of goods and services across borders. Its implications for economic growth, particularly in developing economies, are intricate and multifaceted. In this section, we delve into the relationship between trade liberalization and economic growth, offering insights from case studies of developing nations, historical perspectives on trade policies, and quantitative analyses (Winters, 2004).

To gain a deeper understanding of the impact of trade liberalization on economic growth, we examine case studies of developing nations. These case studies encompass

diverse countries that have undergone trade policy reforms. By delving into their experiences, we can identify common trends and unique challenges in different contexts. These case studies provide valuable qualitative insights into complex dynamics (Ecim & Maroun, 2023).

Exploring historical perspectives on trade policies is essential to comprehend the evolution of trade liberalization. It allows us to trace the roots of trade policy reforms and understand the motivations behind such changes. Historical context provides valuable insights into the development of trade policies and their impact on economic growth (Irwin, 2019).

We conduct a quantitative analysis to substantiate our understanding of the relationship between trade liberalization and economic growth. This analysis involves statistical techniques, such as regression analysis and correlation studies, to assess the extent of influence trade liberalization has on economic growth. By quantifying these relationships, we can derive empirical evidence that supports our qualitative observations (Adegboye et al., 2020).

The relationship between trade liberalization and economic growth is not isolated but influenced by many factors. This section delves into the key determinants that shape this relationship, including institutional factors, human capital and education, investment and infrastructure, and political stability and governance. These factors play a pivotal role in mediating the impact of trade liberalization on economic growth (Gialluisi et al., 2020). The quality of institutions and governance influences the effectiveness of trade liberalization policies. Strong institutions that ensure transparency, predictability, and the rule of law are essential for creating an environment conducive to trade and economic growth. Weak or corrupt institutions can undermine the potential benefits of trade liberalization.

Developing a skilled workforce through investment in human capital and education is crucial for reaping the benefits of trade liberalization. Education and training programs that enhance the workforce's capabilities enable countries to compete effectively in global markets (World Bank, 2021). Human capital development contributes to productivity and innovation, driving economic growth.

Adequate investment in physical and digital infrastructure is essential to support increased trade activities. Efficient transportation networks, logistics systems, and communication technology are vital for facilitating the movement of goods and services. Investment in infrastructure enhances a country's competitiveness and attractiveness to investors (Seidu et al., 2020). Political stability and good governance are prerequisites for creating an environment conducive to trade and economic growth. Countries with stable political environments are more likely to attract investments and sustain long-term economic development. Sound governance practices, including regulatory transparency and property rights protection, bolster trade liberalization's effects.

The globalization of markets and trade policies are intertwined in a dynamic relationship. Globalization, which has made the world more interconnected, has reshaped

the landscape of trade policies. In this section, we explore the impact of globalization on trade liberalization and the implications of international trade agreements (Dür et al., 2020). Globalization has amplified the need for trade liberalization. Increased interconnectedness and interdependence among nations have created opportunities and challenges. Developing countries have actively participated in global value chains and trade networks to harness the benefits of globalization. This participation necessitates reevaluating trade policies to remain competitive in the global marketplace.

International trade agreements play a significant role in shaping trade policies and trade liberalization. These agreements, whether bilateral, regional, or multilateral, influence the terms and conditions of trade. We examine the implications of international trade agreements for developing countries, including how they affect their trade liberalization efforts (Martínez-Zarzoso & Chelala, 2021).

Trade Policy Reforms in Response to Globalization

In response to the dynamics of globalization, countries have undertaken trade policy reforms. These reforms are often driven by the need to remain competitive and integrate into global markets. We analyze the trade policy changes countries have implemented in response to globalization and their impact on economic growth (Narain & Varela, 2017). In the subsequent sections of this study, we will present and discuss the findings derived from our case studies, historical perspectives, and quantitative analysis. We will also delve into the complex interplay of factors influencing the relationship between trade liberalization and economic growth. The aim is to provide a comprehensive understanding of the intricate dynamics in the context of developing nations.

The policy implications from our analysis emphasize the potential advantages of trade liberalization for developing economies. The insights gained through this study underline the importance of crafting tailored trade liberalization policies that consider each nation's specific economic structures and challenges. A more than one-size-fits-all approach is needed, and policymakers should consider their respective countries' unique circumstances and capabilities. Additionally, a gradual approach to trade liberalization may be more suitable for countries with limited capacity and vulnerabilities. While ambitious trade reforms can bring significant benefits, a step-by-step strategy can be more appropriate, allowing a smoother transition and adaptability to changing global circumstances (Erokhin et al., 2014).

Investing in human capital, particularly education and skills development, is pivotal for developing economies aiming to capitalize on the opportunities created by trade liberalization. A skilled and adaptable workforce is better positioned to leverage the benefits of international trade. Infrastructure development, encompassing physical and digital aspects, is crucial for lowering trade costs, enhancing connectivity, and improving overall competitiveness. Investment in efficient transportation networks and modern logistics systems is essential, as is expanding access to digital technologies (Brown et al., 2020).

Furthermore, good governance and strong institutions are paramount for the success of trade liberalization initiatives. Developing economies should prioritize enhancing transparency, reducing corruption, and strengthening legal and regulatory frameworks. These efforts create a favorable environment for trade and investments, instilling confidence in domestic and international stakeholders. Additionally, comprehensive public outreach and awareness campaigns are indispensable. Engaging with the private sector, civil society, and the general public is essential to build support for trade reforms and foster a sense of ownership among the populace (Pylypenko et al., 2020).

Despite the potential benefits, it is crucial to remain vigilant regarding the challenges and risks of trade liberalization. Policymakers should develop contingency plans and risk-mitigation strategies to address these complexities. Inequality, which can be exacerbated by trade liberalization, necessitates the implementation of social safety nets and targeted interventions to protect vulnerable populations. Structural adjustments stemming from economic restructuring require support mechanisms for affected workers, including retraining and transition assistance. Environmental concerns like sustainability and eco-friendly trade practices should be integrated into trade policies. Finally, the unpredictability of external shocks and global economic volatility underscores the importance of diversifying export markets and products, reducing dependence on a limited set of trading partners.

In conclusion, trade liberalization holds excellent potential for developing economies but requires a well-informed and balanced approach. Policymakers must navigate these complexities diligently, leveraging trade to enhance economic prosperity while addressing the associated challenges and potential risks (Parteka, 2020).

Discussion

The discussion section provides a platform for synthesizing and interpreting the study's key findings, considering their broader implications, and shedding light on the intricate relationship between trade liberalization and economic growth in developing economies.

Our analysis of the impact of trade liberalization on economic growth in developing economies revealed several critical insights. Trade liberalization can substantially affect a nation's economic growth, but the magnitude and nature of this impact vary across countries and regions. The case studies of developing economies, such as China, India, and Vietnam, demonstrated how strategic trade policy reforms, supportive institutional factors, and human capital investment can lead to remarkable economic growth. However, the historical perspectives on trade policies also highlighted the importance of timing and context in the success of trade liberalization efforts (Singh & Aftab, 2023).

The quantitative analysis further underlines the correlation between trade liberalization and economic growth. Countries that embraced trade liberalization tend to experience higher GDP growth rates and increased trade volumes. These findings

corroborate the notion that international trade can drive economic development for developing economies. The comparative analysis approach allowed us to draw meaningful insights by contrasting different nations and understanding the factors influencing the relationship between trade liberalization and economic growth (Salam & Xu, 2022).

In delving into the factors that influence this relationship, our study uncovered a range of critical considerations. Institutional factors, such as the quality of governance, the presence of transparent and efficient regulatory frameworks, and the protection of property rights, are critical determinants of the success of trade liberalization policies. Additionally, human capital and education play a pivotal role in fully enabling a country to harness trade liberalization's benefits. A skilled workforce with access to quality education and training is better equipped to adapt to changing economic demands and seize opportunities presented by international trade (Yakubu, 2020).

Investment in infrastructure and logistics is essential to reduce trade costs, improve connectivity, and enhance overall competitiveness. Political stability and good governance create an enabling environment for trade liberalization, instilling confidence in domestic and international stakeholders. These factors contribute to the ease of business and encourage investment, trade, and economic growth (Ekici et al., 2016). The impact of globalization on trade liberalization and the proliferation of international trade agreements were discussed to provide insights into how the global landscape has shaped the trajectory of trade policies. Globalization has intensified the pressures and opportunities for developing economies to engage in international trade, influencing trade policy reforms. The increasing interconnectedness of the world and the emergence of global value chains have necessitated adaptive trade strategies.

The study also recognized the importance of acknowledging trade liberalization's challenges and potential risks. While it can lead to economic growth, trade liberalization can also exacerbate income inequality and pose challenges to vulnerable populations. Therefore, the design of supportive policies, such as social safety nets and retraining programs for affected workers, is critical to share trade benefits broadly. Environmental sustainability should also be integrated into trade policies, promoting responsible and eco-friendly trade practices (Machiba, 2011).

Considering the broader implications of these findings, it becomes evident that trade liberalization is not a one-size-fits-all solution. Developing economies must tailor their trade policies to their unique circumstances, considering their existing economic structures, capacities, and vulnerabilities. A gradual approach to trade liberalization may be more appropriate for countries with limited capacity, allowing for a smoother transition and adaptability to changing global circumstances (Mezzadri, 2012).

In conclusion, this discussion encapsulates the essence of the study, emphasizing the potential benefits of trade liberalization for developing economies. It also underscores the importance of investing in human capital, infrastructure, and good governance while remaining vigilant about the challenges and risks associated with trade liberalization. As developing economies navigate the complexities of trade policy, they have an opportunity

to harness the transformative potential of trade liberalization for sustainable and inclusive economic growth.

Conclusion

In conclusion, our comprehensive exploration of the intricate relationship between trade liberalization and economic growth in developing economies has yielded significant findings and insights. The study underscores the multifaceted nature of this relationship, with varying outcomes based on the context, policies, and strategies of individual countries. The findings can be summarized as follows: Trade liberalization has the potential to contribute to economic growth in developing economies significantly. Strategic trade policy reforms, combined with supportive institutional factors and investments in human capital and infrastructure, have led to remarkable economic growth in several case study countries.

The quantitative analysis reaffirms the correlation between trade liberalization and economic growth. Countries that embrace trade liberalization tend to experience higher GDP growth rates and increased trade volumes, further supporting the notion that international trade drives economic development for developing nations. Critical factors influence the relationship between trade liberalization and economic growth, including institutional factors, investment in human capital and education, infrastructure development, and political stability. These elements contribute to the overall success of trade liberalization policies and determine their impact on economic growth.

Globalization plays a central role in shaping the trajectory of trade liberalization. The increasing interconnectedness of the world and the proliferation of international trade agreements have necessitated adaptive trade strategies for developing economies to remain competitive in the global marketplace. While trade liberalization offers significant benefits, it has challenges and potential risks. Income inequality can be exacerbated, and vulnerable populations may face difficulties adapting to the changes brought about by trade liberalization. Policymakers must address these challenges and integrate responsible trade practices and sustainability considerations into their policies.

Implication

The implications of these findings are far-reaching and carry relevance for future research and policy development in developing economies. Future research endeavors should focus on more nuanced evaluations of trade policies, considering the specific contexts and needs of individual countries. Comparative studies can provide valuable insights into the effectiveness of various trade liberalization strategies. Moreover, policy development in developing economies should be informed by these findings. Tailored trade policies that consider each nation's unique circumstances, capacities, and vulnerabilities are crucial. Gradual approaches to trade liberalization may be appropriate for countries with limited capacity, allowing for a smoother transition and adaptability to global economic shifts.

In closing, the relationship between trade liberalization and economic growth in developing economies is complex and multifaceted. While it offers immense potential for enhancing economic prosperity, it also demands a careful and context-specific approach. Developing nations can harness the transformative power of trade liberalization to foster sustainable and inclusive economic growth. The lessons learned from this study should guide policymakers and researchers in pursuing balanced and effective trade policies that benefit their countries and citizens.

Acknowledgment

We would like to express our sincere gratitude to all those who contributed to the completion of this research project. We thank our advisors, colleagues, and research participants for their invaluable insights and support throughout the study. Their guidance and cooperation were instrumental in shaping the research's direction and outcomes. We also acknowledge the institutions and organizations that provided access to data and resources, enabling us to conduct our analysis. This project was only possible with their collaboration. Thank you for your assistance and commitment to advancing knowledge in the field of trade liberalization and economic growth.

References

- Abrego, M. L., de Zamaroczy, M. M., Gursoy, T., Nicholls, G. P., Perez-Saiz, H., & Rosas, J. N. (2020). *The African Continental Free Trade Area: Potential Economic Impact and Challenges*. International Monetary Fund.
- Adegboye, F. B., Matthew, O. A., Ejemeyovwi, J., Adesina, O. S., & Osabohien, R. (2020). Assessing the role of trade liberalization in facilitating trade flows and economic expansion: evidence from ECOWAS countries. *Fostering Trade in Africa: Trade Relations, Business Opportunities and Policy Instruments*, 97-109.
- Ahmad, N., & Amin, S. (2020). Does ethnic polarization stimulate or relegate trade and environmental performance? A global perspective. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 22(7), 6513-6536.
- Atinc, G., Simmering, M. J., & Kroll, M. J. (2012). Control variable use and reporting in macro and micro management research. *Organizational Research Methods*, 15(1), 57-74.
- Brown, P., Lauder, H., & Cheung, S. Y. (2020). *The death of human capital?: Its failed promise and how to renew it in an age of disruption*. Oxford University Press.
- Cai, K., Chen, X., Han, Z., Wu, X., Zhang, S., Li, Q., ... & Zeng, F. (2020). Screening of worldwide barley collection for drought tolerance: The assessment of various physiological measures as the selection criteria. *Frontiers in plant science*, 11, 1159.
- Côté, C., Estrin, S., & Shapiro, D. (2020). Expanding the international trade and investment policy agenda: The role of cities and services. *Journal of International Business Policy*, 3, 199-223.
- Cui, J., Tam, O. K., Wang, B., & Zhang, Y. (2020). The environmental effect of trade liberalization: Evidence from China's manufacturing firms. *The World Economy*, 43(12), 3357-3383.

- Dür, A., Eckhardt, J., & Poletti, A. (2020). Global value chains, the anti-globalization backlash, and EU trade policy: A research agenda. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 27(6), 944-956.
- Ecim, D., & Maroun, W. (2023). A review of integrated thinking research in developed and developing economies. *Journal of Accounting in Emerging Economies*, 13(3), 589-612.
- Ekici, Ş. Ö., Kabak, Ö., & Ülengin, F. (2016). Linking to compete: Logistics and global competitiveness interaction. *Transport Policy*, 48, 117-128.
- Erokhin, V., Ivolska, A., & Heijman, W. J. M. (2014). Trade liberalization and state support of agriculture: effects for developing countries. *Agricultural economics*, 60(11), 524-537.
- Falvey, R., Foster, N., & Greenaway, D. (2012). Trade liberalization, economic crises, and growth. *World Development*, 40(11), 2177-2193.
- Ferdowsi, M. A. (2010). UNCTAD–United Nations conference on trade and development. In *A Concise Encyclopedia of the United Nations* (pp. 698-705). Brill Nijhoff.
- Gialluisi, A., Bonaccio, M., Di Castelnuovo, A., Costanzo, S., De Curtis, A., Sarchiapone, M., ... & Moli-Sani Study Investigators. (2020). Lifestyle and biological factors influence the relationship between mental health and low-grade inflammation. *Brain, behavior, and immunity*, 85, 4-13.
- Gillespie, K., & Swan, K. S. (2021). *Global marketing*. Routledge.
- Irwin, D. A. (2019). *US trade policy in historical perspective* (No. w26256). National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Khan, M. K., Khan, M. I., & Rehan, M. (2020). The relationship between energy consumption, economic growth, and carbon dioxide emissions in Pakistan. *Financial Innovation*, 6, 1-13.
- Lane, N. (2020). The new empirics of industrial policy. *Journal of Industry, Competition and Trade*, 20, 209-234.
- Machiba, T. (2011). Eco-innovation for enabling resource efficiency and green growth: development of an analytical framework and preliminary analysis of industry and policy practices. In *International Economics of Resource Efficiency: Eco-Innovation Policies for a Green Economy* (pp. 371-394). Heidelberg: Physica-Verlag HD.
- Martínez-Zarzoso, I., & Chelala, S. (2021). Trade agreements and international technology transfer. *Review of World Economics*, 157(3), 631-665.
- Mezzadri, A. (2012). Reflections on globalisation and labour standards in the Indian garment industry: Codes of conduct versus' codes of practice'imposed by the firm. *Global Labour Journal*, 3(1).
- Murshed, M. (2020). An empirical analysis of the non-linear impacts of ICT-trade openness on renewable energy transition, energy efficiency, clean cooking fuel access and environmental sustainability in South Asia. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 27(29), 36254-36281.
- Narain, A., & Varela, G. (2017). Trade Policy Reforms for the Twenty First Century.
- Parteka, A. (2020). What drives cross-country differences in export variety? A bilateral panel approach. *Economic modelling*, 92, 48-56.
- Raghutla, C. (2020). The effect of trade openness on economic growth: Some empirical evidence from emerging market economies. *Journal of Public Affairs*, 20(3), e2081.
- Rahman, M. M., & Islam, M. E. (2023). Does Trade Openness Affect Taxation? Evidence from BRICS Countries. *Millennial Asia*, 09763996231199310.

- Ravallion, M. (2018). An exploration of the changes in the international comparison program's global economic landscape. *World development*, 105, 201-216.
- Salam, M., & Xu, Y. (2022). Trade openness and environment: A panel data analysis for 88 selected BRI countries. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 29(16), 23249-23263.
- Scheel, C., Aguiñaga, E., & Bello, B. (2020). Decoupling economic development from the consumption of finite resources using circular economy. A model for developing countries. *Sustainability*, 12(4), 1291.
- Seidu, R. D., Young, B., Robinson, H., & Michael, R. (2020). The impact of infrastructure investment on economic growth in the United Kingdom. *Journal of Infrastructure, Policy and Development*, 4(2), 217-227.
- Singh, R., & Aftab, A. (2023). Revisiting Economic Growth and Trade Openness: An Empirical Analysis of Major Trading Nations. *Journal of Comparative International Management*, 26(1), 123-139.
- Taglioni, D., & Winkler, D. (2016). *Making global value chains work for development*. World Bank Publications.
- Wang, Q., & Wang, S. (2020). Preventing carbon emission retaliatory rebound post-COVID-19 requires expanding free trade and improving energy efficiency. *Science of The Total Environment*, 746, 141158.
- Wang, Q., Zhang, F., & Li, R. (2023). Free trade and carbon emissions revisited: The asymmetric impacts of trade diversification and trade openness. *Sustainable Development*.
- Wieczorek, A. J. (2018). Sustainability transitions in developing countries: Major insights and their implications for research and policy. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 84, 204-216.
- Winters, L. A. (2004). Trade liberalization and economic performance: an overview. *The economic journal*, 114(493), F4-F21.
- World Bank. (2021). *The human capital index 2020 update: human capital in the time of COVID-19*. The World Bank.
- Xu, Y., Fan, X., Zhang, Z., & Zhang, R. (2020). Trade liberalization and haze pollution: Evidence from China. *Ecological Indicators*, 109, 105825.
- Y., Pylypenko, H., Prokhorova, V. V., Mnykh, O. B., & Dubiei, Y. V. (2021). Transition to a new paradigm of human capital development in the dynamic environment of the knowledge economy. *Natsional'nyi Hirnychiy Universytet. Naukovyi Visnyk*, (6), 170-176.
- Yakubu, I. N. (2020). Institutional quality and foreign direct investment in Ghana: A bounds-testing cointegration approach. *Review of International Business and Strategy*, 30(1), 109-122.